

# Peach Fuzzer vs Other Fuzzers

Security fuzz testing is integral to developing reliable and secure software and hardware solutions.

Fuzzing is crucial to *uncovering zero-day flaws* before attackers do.

Isn't it important that you choose the best testing option available? We think so!

**Here are five points for you to consider:**



**VS**

**Competition**

## **COMPLETE SECURITY TESTING PLATFORM**

Extensibility, scalability, and multiple use cases define Peach as a comprehensive platform. It fuzzes network protocols, file formats, devices, and kernels. As a platform, Peach grows with your needs.



## **UNIQUE TEST CASES MAXIMUM COVERAGE**

Peach Fuzzer includes a comprehensive fuzzing engine. Peach dynamically generates a unique set of new test cases for each test run. Truly unlimited fuzzing.



## **FUZZ PROPRIETARY PROTOCOLS**

Peach empowers your teams to fuzz proprietary protocols using its fully-extensible framework, modeling components, SDK, and protocol definition templates.



## **ADVANCED MONITORING BETTER RESULTS**

Monitoring provides essential bug analysis information. Peach has three types of monitors: fault detectors, data collectors, and environment managers. Advanced monitoring leads to more bugs and better results.



## **EASY AS EATING A PEACH**

What's easier than eating a Peach? With a new graphical user interfaces and a robust help repository, Peach has never been easier to use.



## **LIMITED SECURITY TEST TOOL**

Competing solutions are neither extensible, nor scalable. Working primarily with network protocols, their tools use cases are limited. Unlike a full platform, their tool can't grow with your needs.

*Why compromise with a tool when you can upgrade to a platform?*

## **A FUZZER WITHOUT A FUZZING ENGINE**

Many fuzzing solutions do not include a fuzzing engine. All that hefty licensing cost gets you is a limited set of pre-generated test cases.

*Will a limited number of test cases find all the bugs?*

## **STUCK WITH THE PREDEFINED**

Need to test proprietary protocols? You are out of luck. You'll be stuck with limited extensibility and weak proprietary protocol support.

*What use is a non-extensible fuzzer?*

## **RUDIMENTARY MONITORING. MISSED BUGS**

Vulnerabilities are difficult to identify and remediate. The rudimentary monitoring capabilities which they utilize will miss your bugs.

*Don't you deserve the best-in-class monitoring solution?*

## **PUTS THE OLD IN OLD-SCHOOL**

The security world has evolved. Hackers are going after more complex vulnerabilities. Their limited test cases, old interface, and limited use cases are already stale.

*Isn't it better to invest in an easy-to-use and effective fuzzer?*