Peach Fuzzer vs Other Fuzzers

Security fuzz testing is integral to developing reliable and secure software and hardware solutions. Fuzzing is crucial to uncovering zero-day flaws before attackers do. Isn’t it important that you choose the best testing option available? We think so!

Here are five points for you to consider:

**Complete Security Testing Platform**
Extensibility, scalability, and multiple use cases define Peach as a comprehensive platform. It fuzzes network protocols, file formats, devices, and kernels. As a platform, Peach grows with your needs.

**Unique Test Cases**
Maximum Coverage
Peach Fuzzer includes a comprehensive fuzzing engine. Peach dynamically generates a unique set of new test cases for each test run. Truly unlimited fuzzing.

**Fuzz Proprietary Protocols**
Peach empowers your teams to fuzz proprietary protocols using its fully-extensible framework, modeling components, SDK, and protocol definition templates.

**Advanced Monitoring**
Better Results
Monitoring provides essential bug analysis information. Peach has three types of monitors: fault detectors, data collectors, and environment managers. Advanced monitoring leads to more bugs and better results.

**Easy As Eating A Peach**
What’s easier than eating a Peach? With a new graphical user interfaces and a robust help repository, Peach has never been easier to use.

**Limited Security Test Tool**
Competing solutions are neither extensible, nor scalable. Working primarily with network protocols, their tools use cases are limited. Unlike a full platform, their tool can’t grow with your needs. Why compromise with a tool when you can upgrade to a platform?

**A Fuzzer Without A Fuzzing Engine**
Many fuzzing solutions do not include a fuzzing engine. All that hefty licensing cost gets you is a limited set of pre-generated test cases. Will a limited number of test cases find all the bugs?

**Stuck With The Predefined**
Need to test proprietary protocols? You are out of luck. You’ll be stuck with limited extensibility and weak proprietary protocol support. What use is a non-extensible fuzzer?

**Rudimentary Monitoring. Missed Bugs**
Vulnerabilities are difficult to identify and remediate. The rudimentary monitoring capabilities which they utilize will miss your bugs. Don’t you deserve the best-in-class monitoring solution?

**Puts The Old In Old-School**
The security world has evolved. Hackers are going after more complex vulnerabilities. Their limited test cases, old interface, and limited use cases are already stale. Isn’t it better to invest in an easy-to-use and effective fuzzer?